

FİKRİ MÜLKİYET HAKLARINDA KÜMÜLASYON
AB VE TÜRK HUKUKU KAPSAMINDA



YETKİN
YAYINLARI

ÖDEMELİ İSTEME VE GENEL DAĞITIM



YETKİN Basım Yayım ve Dağıtım A.Ş.

Strasburg Caddesi No: 31/A 06430 Sıhhiye / ANKARA

Tel : 0 (312) 231 42 34 (35) – 232 03 43 Faks: 229 87 85

Şube: Abide-i Hürriyet Cad. No: 158 34381 Şişli / İSTANBUL

Tel: 0 (212) 234 50 56 (57) Pbx Faks: 0 (212) 234 50 58

e-mail: yetkin@yetkin.com.tr <http://www.yetkin.com.tr>

Yayınevi Sertifika No: 47453

Yayın Kodu: ISBN 978-605-05-1886-3

© Yetkin Yayınları 2024

Dizgi: YETKİN Elektronik Yayımcılık Sistemleri

Baskı: YETKİN BASIMEVİ

Kâzım Karabekir Caddesi No: 95/7-8-10

Tel: 0 (312) 341 00 06 06060 ANKARA

Matbaa Sertifika No: 75879

Öğr. Gör. Dr. Önder Suat ULUÇLAR

FİKRİ MÜLKİYET HAKLARINDA
KÜMÜLASYON

AB VE TÜRK HUKUKU KAPSAMINDA

Ankara 2024

İÇİNDEKİLER

ÖNSÖZ	5
KISALTMALAR	13
GİRİŞ	17
I. FİKRİ MÜLKİYET HAKLARI	23
1. Telif hakkı	23
A. Unsurlar	24
a) Hususiyet	25
b) Objektif şart	27
c) Tıpe uygunluk	29
B. Türler	30
a) İlim ve edebiyat eserleri	30
i. Dil ile ifade olunan eserler	30
ii. Bilgisayar programları	30
iii. Raks Kareografi pandomimalar ve benzer sözsüz sahne eserleri	31
iv. Bedi vasfı bulunmayan teknik ve ilmi fotoğraflar ile haritalar çizimler ve maketler.....	32
b) Musiki eserler.....	32
c) Güzel sanat eserleri	32
d) Sinema eserleri.....	33
C. İşleme ve derleme Eser	34
a) İşleme	34
b) Derleme	37
c) Veri tabanları	38
D. Eser sahipliği.....	40
a) Genel olarak.....	40
b) Birlikte eser sahipliği.....	41
E. Eser sahipliği hakları	42
a) Manevi haklar	43
i. Umuma arz	43
ii. Adın belirtilmesi	43

iii. Değişikliğin önlenmesi.....	44
iv. Eserin aslına dair haklar	46
b) Mali haklar.....	47
i. Genel olarak	47
ii. Çoğaltma hakkı.....	49
iii. Yayma hakkı.....	50
iv. Temsil hakkı.....	51
v. Umuma iletim hakkı	52
vi. Pay ve takip hakkı.....	52
vii. Cayma hakkı.....	53
2. Marka hakkı.....	54
A. Genel olarak	54
B. İşlev	55
C. Türleri	55
D. Unsurlar	56
a) İşaret.....	56
b) Ayırt edicilik.....	57
c) Belirlilik.....	58
E. Tescil	59
F. Tescil engelleri	60
a) Mutlak nedenler	60
b) Nispi nedenler	62
G. Koruma kapsamı.....	65
H. Sona erme.....	65
3. Tasarım hakkı	66
A. Genel olarak	66
B. Türleri	67
C. Hak sahipliği	68
D. Koruma Şartları	69
a) Genel olarak.....	69
b) Yenilik.....	71
c) Ayırt edicilik.....	72
E. Koruma kapsamı.....	74
F. Koruma dışı haller	74
G. Süre.....	75
H. Sona erme.....	76

4. Patent hakkı	76
A. Buluş.....	76
B. Unsurları	78
a) Yenilik.....	78
b) Buluş basamağı.....	79
c) Sanayiye uygulanabilirlik	79
C. Hak sahipliği	80
D. Koruma kapsamı.....	81
E. Koruma dışı haller	82
F. Sona erme.....	84
II. HAKLARIN ÇOKLUĞU.....	87
1. Usul Hukuku Yönünden.....	87
A. Davaların yığılması ve kademelendirilmesi	87
B. Hukuki sebep bildirme.....	88
C. Seçimlik borç ve seçme hakkı	89
D. Davaların yarışması	90
2. Maddi Hukuk Yönünden	91
A. Özel hüküm ilişkisi.....	91
B. Yarışma.....	93
a) Kavram	93
b) Taleple bağıllık	94
c) En iyi giderim	97
d) Yarışan haklar	100
C. Yığılma	103
3. Fikri Mülkiyet Hakları Yönünden.....	105
A. Bağımsızlık	105
B. Kümülasyon Alanı.....	112
C. Hakların Yarışması	123
III.KÜMÜLASYON	129
1. Telif ve Tasarım Hakkı	130
A. Hukuk Çevreleri	131
a) Uluslararası Hukuk.....	131
b) Birlik Hukuku	134
c) Hukuk Çevreleri.....	140
i. Fransız Hukuku.....	140

ii.	Benelux Hukuku	142
iii.	İsviçre Hukuku	142
iv.	Alman Hukuku	143
v.	Birleşik Krallık Hukuku.....	144
B.	Mevzuat	145
C.	Hak alanı	147
a)	Kapsam	147
b)	Nitelik.....	149
c)	İspat	151
d)	Testler.....	152
e)	Tükenme	154
D.	Tasarım özgürlüğü.....	155
a)	Fikir ve ifade ayrımı	155
b)	Ürün.....	158
c)	Sanatın birliği (Unité de l'art).....	161
d)	Teknik işlev	162
e)	Görünüm.....	165
f)	Tahdidilik (Numerus clausus).....	169
E.	Maddi kurallar	170
a)	Yenilik	170
b)	Ayırt edicilik.....	174
c)	Hususiyet	178
d)	Somutlaşma.....	183
F.	Özel haller	184
a)	Tescil	185
b)	Sanatsallık.....	187
c)	Yazılımlar	194
d)	Dilsellik	194
e)	Ufak işler (Small coin)	195
f)	Eşleşen (<i>Must match</i>)	196
2.	Telif ve Marka Hakkı	198
A.	Hak alanı	198
B.	Maddi şartlar	201
a)	Genel olarak.....	201
b)	Hususiyet	203
c)	Tahdidilik (Numerus clausus).....	206

d) Nesnellik.....	208
e) Ayırt edicilik.....	211
f) Yenilik.....	212
C. İstisna.....	213
a) Genel olarak.....	213
b) Ticarilik.....	214
c) Kullanma yükü.....	215
d) Şekil.....	217
e) Sessiz kalma.....	219
f) Jenerikleşme.....	220
D. Özel haller.....	222
a) Sulandırma.....	222
b) Parodi.....	223
c) Slogan.....	230
d) Eser adı.....	232
e) Caydırma (Chilling effect).....	235
3. Marka ve Tasarım Hakkı.....	235
A. Hak alanı.....	235
B. Maddi şartlar.....	238
a) Ayırt edicilik.....	238
b) Yenilik.....	243
C. Arayüz.....	244
a) Genel olarak.....	244
b) Görünüm.....	244
c) Eşleşen (Must match).....	246
D. Koruma dışı alan.....	248
a) Teknik işlev.....	248
b) Tasvir edicilik.....	253
c) Malın şekli.....	254
4. Marka ve Patent Hakkı.....	257
A. Hak alanı.....	257
B. Sınırlama.....	258
5. Patent ve Tasarım Hakkı.....	262
A. Hak alanı.....	262
a) Kapsam.....	262
b) Nitelik.....	264

c) Maddi Kurallar.....	265
B. İstisnalar.....	266
a) Estetik.....	266
b) İşlev.....	267
c) Kullanım.....	268
d) Tükenme.....	270
e) Kamu düzeni.....	270
6. Telif ve Patent Hakkı.....	270
A. Hak alanı.....	270
a) Kapsam.....	272
b) İstisna.....	274
B. Özel haller.....	276
a) Bilgisayar programları.....	276
b) Teknik işlev.....	280
c) Estetik.....	281
d) Objektif şart.....	282
e) Yenilik.....	282
IV.SONUÇ.....	285
1. Kümülyasyon.....	285
2. Aşırı Korumacılık.....	292
3. Yaklaşımlar.....	294
4. Boşluk Doldurma.....	303
5. Hakların Yarışması.....	310
KAYNAKÇA.....	317
Eserler.....	317
Kararlar.....	333
Mevzuat.....	353

KAYNAKÇA

Eserler

- ACUN, Hüseyin, Eser Sözleşmesinde Ayıptan Sorumluluk Hükümleri ile Borçlar Kanunu Genel Hükümlerinin Yarışması, Seçkin, Ankara, 2018.
- ANTALYA, Gökhan, O., Borçlar Hukuku Genel hükümler, Cilt V/1.1, Temel Kavramlar Sözleşmeden Doğan Borç İlişkileri, 2. Baskı, Marmara Hukuk yorumu, Seçkin, Ankara, 2019.
- ARSLANLI, Halil, Fikri Hukuk Dersleri II, Fikir ve Sanat Eserleri, Sulhi Garan Matbaası, İstanbul, 1954.
- ASLAN/YILMAZ/ TAŞPINAR–AYVAZ/HANAĞASI, Ramazan, Ejder, Sema, Emel, Medeni Usul Hukuku 4. Baskı, Yetkin, İstanbul, 2018.
- ATEŞ, Mustafa (Eser), Fikri Hukukta Eser, 1. Baskı, Turhan, Ankara. 2007.
- ATEŞ, Mustafa (Sınırlandırılma), Fikir ve Sanat Eserleri Üzerindeki Hakların Kapsamı ve Sınırlandırılması 1. Baskı, Seçkin, Ankara, 2003.
- ATEŞ, Mustafa, (Veri Tabanı) Veri Tabanlarının Hukukî Koruması, AÜHFD, Ankara, 2006.
- AYDIN Sevgican, Chrsition Louboutin/Van Haren Davasında AB Adalet Divanı Tarafından Verilen Kararın AB, Alman ve Türk Marka Hukuku Açısından Değerlendirilmesi, Fikri Mülkiyet Hukuku Yıllığı, 2019.
- AYHAN/ÇAĞLAR/YILDIZ/İMİRLİOĞLU, Rıza, Hayrettin, Burçak, Dilek, Sınai Mülkiyet Hukuku, Adalet Yayınları, Ankara, 2021.
- BAK-TEZGEL, Başak, Fikri Haklarda Sözleşmeden Cayma (FSEK Md. 58) Ankara Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Özel Hukuk Anabilim Dalı, 2016.

- BAND/BUTLER, Jonathan, Brandon, Overlapping Forms of Protection for Databases, *Overlapping Intellectual Property Rights*, ed. Neil Wilkof, Shamnad Basheer, Oxford University Press, UK, 2012.
- BECKERMAN-RODAU, Andrew, The Problem With Intellectual Property Rights: Subject Matter Expansion, *Yale Journal of Law and Technology*, V. 13, Issue 1 *Yale Journal of Law and Technology Article 2*, USA, 2011.
- BENTLY, Lionel, Patent and Trade Secrets, *Overlapping Intellectual Property Rights*, ed. Neil Wilkof, Shamnad Basheer, Oxford University Press, UK, 2012. (overlapping)
- BENTLY, Lionel, The Return of Industrial Copyright, *SSRN Electronic Journal*, July 2012 (return) *European Intellectual Property Review*, Vol. 10, September 2012, University of Cambridge Faculty of Law Research Paper No. 19, UK, 2012.
- BEVERLEY-SMİTH, Huw, Rights In Data And Information, *The Oxford Handbook of Intellectual Property Law*, ed Rochelle C. DREYFUSS/Justine PILA, Oxford University Press, Oxford/New YORK, 2018.
- BOHACZEWSKI, Michal, Conflicts Between Trade Mark Rights and Freedom of Expression Under EU Trade Mark Law: Reality or Illusion? *IIC-International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*, Vol.51, 2020,
- BOLDRIN/LEVINE, Michele, David, The Case Against Intellectual Property, *American Economic Review*, 92 (2): 209-212, 2002.
- BOUCHENARD/DARCEL, Claire, Julia, Le Droit À L'humour À L'aune Du Droit De La Propriété Intellectuelle, *Les Cahiers De Propriété Intellectuelle* Vol. 25, no 2, s. 585 vd, 2013.
- BOZGEYİK, Hayri, Fikir ve Sanat Eserlerinde Hususiyet, *Banka ve Ticaret Hukuku Dergisi (Türkiye İş Bankası A.Ş. Vakfı)*, S:3, C:25, s.169-220, 2009.

- BOZGEYİK/BOZGEYİK, Hayri, Ahmet, Yazılımlar için Telif Hakkı Korumasında Güncel Sorunlar, Fikri Mülkiyet Hukuku Yıllığı, s.81-96, 2013.
- BOZGEYİK/ER, Hayri, Sefa, Tasarımlar İçin Kümülatif Koruma, Ticaret ve Fikri Mülkiyet Hukuku Dergisi, Cilt 5, Sayı 1, s:19- 38, 2019.
- BRIDGES, Andrew, Navigating The Interface between Utility Patents and Copyrights, Overlapping Intellectual Property Rights, ed. Neil Wilkof, Shannad Basheer, Oxford University Press, UK, 2012.
- BUYDENS, Mireille, L'application Des Droits De Propriété Intellectuelle - Recueil De Jurisprudence, OMPI, 2014.
- CALBOLI, Irene, Trademarking Creative Works: Trends And Negative Effects On The Copyright Equilibrium Forthcoming in Evolution And Equilibrium: Copyright This Century, eds. Susy Frankel & Daniel Gervais, Cambridge University Press, UK, 2014.
- CAN/ Mehmet Çelebi, Üç Boyutlu Şekillerin Marka Olarak Görünümü TAAD, Yıl:11, S:41, 2020.
- CANLORBE, Julien, L'usage Dans La Vie Des Affaires, Condition Nécessaire De La Contrefaçon Des Marques, LEGICOM 2010/1 N. 44, France, 2010.
- CARMONA LÓPEZ, Marta, Should European Trade Mark Law Include an Explicit Parody Exception as a Limitation to Trade Mark Rights?: A focus on consumers of trade marks with reputation, Uppsala University, Diva Portal, Sweden, 2019.
- CEDIDAC, LCD Jurisprudence 1989-2001, - Centre du droit de l'entreprise de l'Université de Lausanne Band/Nr. 52, CEDIDAC - Centre du droit de l'entreprise de l'Université de Lausanne, Sixième partie: Rapports entre la LCD et les lois spéciales de propriété intellectuelle, Swisslex
- CHARRIÈRE Mathilde, Le Dépôt De Personnages Fictifs À Titre De Marques, Master De Droit De La Propriété Littéraire, Artistique et Industrielle, Université Paris II, Banque Des Memoires, Paris, 2018.

- CHATRY, Sylvain, *Le Concours De Droits De Propriété Intellectuelle: Essai D'une Théorie Générale*, These de Doctorat en Droit Prive, Université de Nantes, 2011.
- CHATZIMICHALI, Anna, *The Limits of Intellectual property: Exhaustion of Rights*, International Trademark and digital Copyright, University of West England, Bristol, Research Repository, 2021.
- COOK, Trevor, M., *The Cumulative Protection of Design in The European Union and the Role in such Protection of Copyright*, European IP Developments, Bird and Bird, 07.12.2012, Journal of Intellectual Property Rights, Vol 18, pp 83-87, January 2013
- CORNISH/LLEWELYN/ALPIN, William, David, Tanja, *Intellectual Property: Patents, Copyright, Trademarki Allied Rights*, 7th Ed., Sweet & Maxwell, London, 2010.
- ÇOLAK, Uğur, (Marka) Türk Marka Hukuku, 4. Bası, Oniki Levha, İstanbul, 2018.
- DE SOUSA, Pedro, Caro, *Free Movement and Competition in the European Market for Pharmaceuticals*, SSRN Electronic Journal Publication, 2016.
- DECARLI, M. Thibault, *La Protection Du Titre Des Œuvres De L'esprit, Mémoire Pour L'obtention Du Master, Droit Des Médias Et Des Télécommunications*, Institut De Recherche Et D'études En Droit De L'information Et De La Communication, Faculté De Droit Et De Science Politique, Aix-Marseille Université, Marseille, 2013.
- DERCLAYE, Estelle, (interface) *The Copyright/Design Interface in Italy*, Cambridge University Press, p. 269-296, February UK, 2018.
- DERCLAYE/LEISTNER, Estelle, Matthias, *Intellectual Property Overlaps, A European Perspective*, Bloomsbury Publishing, Imprint: Hart Publishing, Oxford, 2011.
- DUSOLLIER/CRUQUENAIRE, Séverine, Alexandre, *Le Cumul des Droits Intellectuels*, Larcier, Bruxelles, 2009.

- EBERHARD/DESSEMONTET, Stefan, François, LCD: Jurisprudence 1989-2001 (Publication CEDIDAC 52), Centre Du Droit De L'entreprise De l'Université De Lausanne, Lausanne, 2002.
- ENDRICH, Tobias, Pinning down functionality in EU design law – A comment on the CJEU's DOCERAM Judgment (C-395/16), Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, Volume 14, Issue 2, s. 156–167, February 2019.
- EREN/DÖNMEZ, Fikret, Ünsal, Eren Borçlar Hukuku Şerhi, Cilt 2, Yetkin, Ankara, 2022.
- EROĞLU, Sevilay, Soyut Renk, Ses Ve Üç Boyutlu İşaretlerin Marka Olarak Tescili, DEÜHFD, Cilt 5, Sayı 1, 95-166, Haziran 2003.
- ETRILLARD, Claire, La parodie en droit des marques, Revue Juridique de l'Ouest, Persee, Lyon, 2003.
- FICSOR, Mihaly, The Law Of Copyright And The Internet: The 1996 WIPO Treaties, Their Interpretation and Implementation, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
- FİDAN, İsmail, Endüstriyel Tasarımların Fikir ve Sanat Eserleri Kanunu Kapsamında Korunması, İnönü Üniversitesi Hukuk Fakültesi Dergisi Cilt:2 Sayı:2, 2011.
- FÜTMAN/ ÜNSAL, Özlem, Önder Erol, Koku Markalarının Tescil Edilebilirliğine İlişkin Avrupa Birliği ve Amerika Birleşik Devletleri Uygulamalarına Dair Bir İnceleme, Ankara Barosu Fikri Mülkiyet ve Rekabet Hukuku Dergisi, Ankara, 2020.
- GANGJEE, Dev, Saif, Overlaps Between Trademarks and Geographical Indications, Overlapping Intellectual Property Rights, ed. Neil Wilkof, Shamnad Basheer, Oxford University Press, UK, 2012.
- GAUBIAC, Yves, La Théorie De L'unité De L'art, Revue Internationale Du Droit d'Auteur (RIDA), n° 111, Janvier 1982.

- GEORGIADES, Eugenia, Closing The Gap Between Copyright And Designs: An Age Of Enlightenment Or State Of Confusion?, *Information & Communication Technology Law*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 45–59, 2013.
- GHIDINI, Gustavo, Sequential Cumulation Of Copyright With Protection Of Products Of Industrial Design, A Critique And An Alternative Proposal, *Stockholm IP Law Review*, 2, Stockholm Law Faculty's Trust Fund for Publications, Stockholm, 2019.
- GHOSH/CALBOLI, Shubha, Irene, Overlapping Intellectual Property Protection and the Exhaustion Doctrine. In *Exhausting Intellectual Property Rights: A Comparative Law and Policy Analysis*, s. 137-157, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018.
- GILLIÉRON, Philippe, L'appropriation De Marques Abandonnées Par Des Tiers («Reviving brands»), *www.sic-online.ch*; 4, S:245-254, 2019.
- GUADAMUZ, Andres, (çev: Özgühan ÇOPUR) Yapay Zeka Ürünleri ve Fikri Mülkiyet Hakları, *Fikri Mülkiyet Hukuku Yıllığı*, 2019
- GÜÇLÜTÜRK/CANKAT, Osman Gazi, Rifat, Gelişen Teknolojiler ve Hukuk 2: Yapay Zekâ, Editörler: Eylem Aksoy RETORNAZ, Osman Gazi GÜÇLÜTÜRK, Yapay Zeka İle Oluşturulan Ürünlerin Eser Niteliği Ve Eser Sahipliği Meselesi, *Oniki Levha*, İstanbul, 2021.
- GÜNEŞ, İlhami, Uygulamada Fikri ve Sınai Mülkiyet Hakları ve Haksız Rekabet Davaları, 5. Bası, Seçkin Yayınevi, Ankara, 2018.
- GÜRLER/Cemalettin, Takı Tasarımlarında Hukuki Koruma, *Ankara Barosu Fikri Mülkiyet ve Rekabet Hukuku Dergisi*, C.2, S:4, Sh. 51-62, Ankara, 2002.
- GÜZEL, Kubilay, Tescilli Tasarımların Korunmasında Özellik Arz Eden Hâller Uzmanlık Tezi Mustafa, 2008.
- HANDLER, Michael, What Should Constitute Infringement of a Non-Traditional Mark? The Role of Trademark Use, Oxford University Press, UK, 2018.

- HARRİSON, Robert, Patent and Utility Models, Overlapping Intellectual Property Rights, ed. Neil Wilkof, Shamnad Basheer, Oxford University Press, UK, 2012.
- HARTWIG, Henning, Spare Parts Under European Design And Trade Mark Law, GRUR Int. Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, V:11, Issue 2, P:121-129, Oxford University Press, UK, 2016.
- HATEMİ/GÖKYAYLA, Hüseyin, Emre, Borçlar Hukuku, 4. Baskı, Vedat Kitapçılık, İstanbul, 2017.
- HE, Kan, Section Title: The Concept Of Originality In Copyright Law In China And Europe, in Governance Of Intellectual property Rights in China and Europe, Edward Elgar Publishing Cheltenham UK, 2016.
- HEYMANN, Laura A, Overlapping Intellectual Property Doktrines: Election of Rights versus Selection of Remedies, William & Mary Law School Scholarship Repository, Faculty Publications, 17 Stan. Tech. L. Rev. (239) 241, Virginia, 2013.
- HILTY/WEBER, Reto, M., Markus, J., Balancing Copyright- A Survey of National Approaches MPI Studies on Intellectual Property and Competition Law, Volume 18, Ed: Reto M. Hilty, Joseph Straus, Josef Drexl, Sylvie Nérissou, Springer, Heidelberg; New York, 2012.
- HIRSCH, Ernst E, Hukuki Bakımdan Fikri Say: Fikri Haklar: Telif Hukuku, Cilt 2, İktisadi Yürüyüş Matbaası ve Neşriyat Yurdu, İstanbul, 1943.
- JANIS, Mark D, Interfaces in Plant Intellectual Property, Overlapping Intellectual Property Rights, ed. Neil Wilkof, Shamnad Basheer and Irene Calbol, Oxford University Press, UK, 2012.
- JEROHAM, Herman Cohen, Cumulation of Protection in the EC Design Proposals, [Prof \(ivir.nl\)](http://www.ivir.nl)
- JEROHAM/NISPEN/HUYDECOPER, Tobias, Cohen, Constant Van, Toon, European Trademark Law: Community Trademark Law and Harmonised National Trademark Law, Austin: Wolter Kluwer Law and Business, 2010,

- KAESMACHER/DUEZ, Dominique, Lionel, Cumul de Marque et De Dénominations Géographiques, Le Cumul des Droits Intellectuels, Larcier, Bruxelles, 2009.
- KAL/SPRIGMAN, Raustiala, Christopher, The Piracy Paradox Revisited, Stanford Law Review, Volume 61, Issue 5, s. 1201 vd., 2009.
- KARA/Elif, Türk Marka Hukukunda Gerçek Hak Sahipliđi İlkesi, Oniki Levha, İstanbul, 2018.
- KARAASLAN Pelin, Teknik Buluşlar ile Tasarım Koruması Arasında Sınır Çizgisi: Teknik İşlevin Tasarımı Şekillendirmesi, İnönü Üniversitesi Hukuk Fakültesi Dergisi – İnÜHFD 10(2): 489-503, 2019.
- KARACABEY, Ömer, Faruk, Hakların Yarışması, Doktrin ve Meslek Buluşmaları, Ankara Barosu Dergisi, Ankara, 1980.
- KARACABEY, Ömer, Faruk, Hakların Yarışması, Doktrin ve Meslek Yazıları, Ankara Barosu Dergisi, Ankara, 1980.
- KARAMAN-ODABAŞI, Fatma, (Doktora Tezi) Uygulamada Fikri Mülkiyet Haklarının Haksız Rekabete Konu Olması, Adalet Yayınevi, Ankara, 2015.
- KERVANKIRAN, Emrullah, Reklam Sloganları ve Hukuken Korunması, Fikri Mülkiyet Hukuku Yıllığı, Yetkin Basım Yayım ve Dağıtım, S: 291-332, Ankara, 2013.
- KILIÇOĞLU, Ahmet Mithat, (Karşılaştırmalı) Sınai Haklarla Karşılaştırmalı Fikri Haklar, 5. Bası, Turhan, Ankara, 2019.
- KILIÇOĞLU, Ahmet, Mithat, (Alamet) Eser Sayılmayan Fikri Ürünler ve Eserin Adı ve Alametleri Üzerindeki Haklar, Journal of Yaşar University, Cilt II 8, s. 1590, İzmir, 2013.
- KILIÇOĞLU, Ahmet, Mithat, Borçlar Hukuku Genel Hükümler, 25. Baskı, Turhan Kitapevi, Ankara, 2021.

- KISIELIUTE, Ieva, A “Fair Balance” between Intellectual Property Rights and Other Fundamental Rights, Lund University Publications, İsveç, 2012.
- KLINE, Michael J., Requiring an Election of Protection for Patentable/Copyrightable Computer Programs, Volume 6 Issue 4, Computer/Law Journal, 1986.
- KOÇ-ÇELİK, Nefise, Tasarımların Ulusal ve Uluslararası Hukukta Tescili ve Korunması, Ankara, 2009.
- KÖSEOĞLU, Emine, Nergiz, İnternet Ortamındaki Yayınlarda Telif Hakları, Legal Fikri ve Sınai Haklar Dergisi 8, İstanbul, 2006.
- KUR, Annette, (cumul), Le Cumul Des Droits Sur Les Formes Tridimensionnelles - Cumulation Of Rights With Regard To 3D Shapes, MPI, March 29th, Munich, 2015.
- KUR, Annette, (to protect) What to Protect, and How? Unfair Competition, Intellectual Property, or Protection Sui Generis, Max-Planck Institute for Intellectual Property and Competition Law Research Paper No. 13-12, Munich, 2014.
- KUR, Annette, (unité) Unité De L’art Is Here To Stay - Cofemel And Its Consequences, Max Planck Institute for Innovation and Competition Research Paper No. 19-16, Max Planck Institute for Innovation and Competition Research Paper Series, Munich, 2019.
- KUR, Annette, Cumulation of Rights with Regard to Threedimensional Shapes - Two Exemplary Case Studies, Le Cumul des Droits Intellectuels, Larcier, Bruxelles, 2009.
- LIPTON, Jacqueline, Deborah, To (C) or Not to (C)? Copyright and Innovation in the Digital Typeface Industry, UC Davis Law Review, Forthcoming, Case Legal Studies Research Paper No. 09-1, SSRN, , Rochester NY, 2009.

- MACREZ, Franck, *Les Cumuls de Droits Intellectuels sur Les Créations Informatiques, Le Cumul des Droits Intellectuels*, Larcier, Bruxelles, 2009.
- MALAQUIAS, Pedro, *Consumer 3D Printing: Is the UK Copyright and Design Law Framework Fit for Purpose*, *Queen Mary Journal of Intellectual Property* Volume 6, Issue 3, Edward Elgar Publishing, UK, 2016.
- MALAURIE-VIGNAL/LEGRAND, Marie, Stephanie, *Intellectual Property Law And Parodies In The Fashion Industry*, *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 2019, Vol. 14, No. 6, s. 459-471, Oxford University Press, UK, 2019.
- MASSA/STROWEL, Charles Henry, Alain, *Le Cumul Du Dessin Ou Modele Et Du Droit D'Auteur: Orbites Paralleles Et Forces D'Attraction Entre Deux Planetes Indépendantes Mais Jumelles*, *Le Cumul des Droits Intellectuels*, Larcier Intersentia, Bruxelles, 2009.
- MATTILA, Henri, *Parody And Copyright In The European Union Law*, Faculty of Management and Business, Tampere University, Helsinki, 2019.
- MCDONAGH, Luke, *Necessary Reading For Understanding When IP Rights Overlap*, *IP in Review*, *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, Oxford University Press, UK, 2013.
- MEMİŞ, Tekin, *Fikri Mülkiyet İhlallerinde Basiretli Tacir Kavramı, Yargıtay Kararları İncelemesi, Fikri Mülkiyet Hukuku Yıllığı*, Oniki Levha, İstanbul, 2010.
- MEMİŞ/YILMAZ, Muhammet Şamil, Çiğdem, *Hâkimin Hukuku Resen Uygulaması İlkesi Kapsamında Sebeplerin Yarışması Hükümünün değerlendirilmesi*, (Sorumluluk Hukuku, ed. Başak Baysal, *Seminerler* 2018, On İki Levha, İstanbul, 2019.

- MENDE/ISAAC/ Craig S., Belinda, When Copyright and Trademark Rights Overlaps, Overlapping Intellectual Property Rights, ed. Neil Wilkof, Shamnad Basheer, Oxford University Press, 2012.
- MERWE, Regardt Willem Van Der, Food For Thought Considering Intellectual Property Protection For Signature Dishes And Plating, Stockholm IP Law Review, Stockholm, 2019.
- MOFFAT, R Viva, Mutant Copyrights And Backdoor Patents: The Problem of Overlapping Intellectual Property Protection, Berkeley Technology Law Journal, Vol. 19:1473, ABD, 2004.
- MUHLSTEIN/WILKINSON, Amy, Margaret Ann, Whither Industrial Design, Western University, Scholarship Western, Law Publications Law School, 2000.
- MUSKER, David, The Overlap Between Patent And Design Protection, Overlapping Intellectual Property Rights, ed. Neil Wilkof, Shamnad Basheer, Oxford University Press, UK, 2012.
- NEMES/CARBONI, Alan S, Anna, Overlapping Rights in Design Trademarks and Trade Dress, Overlapping Intellectual Property Rights, ed. Neil Wilkof, Shamnad Basheer, Oxford University Press, UK, 2012.
- NOMER, Haluk, Nami, Borçlar Hukuku, Genel Hükümler, 18. Basım, Beta, İstanbul, 2021.
- ODMAN, N. Ayşe, Fikri Mülkiyet ile Rekabet Hukukunun Teknolojik Yeniliklerin Teşvikindeki Rolü, Seçkin Yayıncılık, Ankara, 2002.
- OYTAÇ, Kutlu, Marka Hukuku Korumasının Endüstriyel Tasarım ve Haksız Rekabet Hükümleri ile Birlikte Ele Alınması, FMR, S:2, C: 3, s. 57-67, Ankara, 2003.
- ÖNCÜ, Özge, Fikir ve Sanat Eserleri Hukukunda İktibas Serbestisi ve Sınırları, Dokuz Eylül Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, İzmir, 2009.

ÖZEL/ÖZDEMİR Çağlar, Semih Sırrı, Türk Hukukunda Haksız Rekabete İlişkin Düzenlemeler, D.E.Ü. Hukuk Fakültesi Dergisi, Prof. Dr. Şeref ERTAŞ'a Armağan, C. 19, Özel Sayı-2017, s. 189-206, İzmir, 2017.

ÖZTAN/Fırat, Fikir ve Sanat Eserleri Hukuku, Ankara, 2018.

PEKCANITEZ/ATALAY/ÖZEKEŞ, Hakan, Oğuz, Muhammet, Medeni Usul Hukuku, Ders Kitabı, 6. Baskı, Vedat Kitapçılık, İstanbul, 2018.

PHILLIPS, Anna, Copyright or Trademark, Can One Boy Wizard Prevent Film Title Duplication, San Diego International Law Journal, VOL. 11: 319, California, 2009.

PISTORIUS, Tana, Balancing Copyright- A Survey of National Approaches MPI Studies on Intellectual Property and Competition Law, Volume 18, *Ed:* Reto M. Hilty, Joseph Straus, Josef Drexl, Sylvie Nérissou, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012.

REICHMAN, Jerome. H., Design Protection and the New Technologies: The United States Experience in a Transnational Perspective, University of Baltimore Law Review, Volume 19, Issue 1 Number 1, 2 Fall 1989/Winter 1990 Article 3, Baltimore, 1989.

RICKETSON/SUTHERSANEN, Sam, Uma, The Design/Copyright Overlap: Is There a Resolution? Overlapping Intellectual Property Rights, ed. Neil Wilkof, Shammad Basheer, Oxford University Press, 2012,

ROSATI, Eleonora, (Invalidity), The Absolute Ground For Refusal Or Invalidity, Article 7(1)(e)(iii) EUTMR/4(1)(e)(iii) EUTMD: In Search Of The Exclusion's Own Substantial Value, Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, v. 15, 2, Oxford University Press, February 2020

ROSATI Eleonora, (Copyright), CJEU Rules That Copyright Protection For Designs Only Requires Sufficient Originality, Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, Vol. 14, No. 12, Oxford University Press, 2019.

- ROSENBLATT, Elizabeth L., Intellectual Property's Negative s Negative Space: Be e Space: Beyond the Utilitarian ond the Utilitarian, Florida State University Law Review Volume 40 Issue 3 Article 1 2013
- SAMUELSON, Pamela, Strategies for Discerning the Boundaries of Copyright and Patent Protections, Notre Dame Law Review, 2017.
- SCHLÖTELBURG, Martin, Design Protection For Technical Products, Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, Volume 1, Issue 10, Oxford University Press, UK, 2006.
- SENFTLEBEN, Martin, Overprotection and Protection Overlaps in Intellectual Property Law, The Need for Horizontal Fair Use Defences, Institute for Information Law (IViR), University of Amsterdam, Date Written: April 16, 2010.
- SEROZAN, Rona, Borçlar Hukuku Genel bölüm, On İki Levha, İstanbul, 2022.
- SEZGİN-HUYSAL, Ayşegül, İlaç Patenti, Vedat Kitapçılık, İstanbul, 2010.
- SILVA, Nuno de Araújo Sousa, The Ownership Problems of Overlaps in European Intellectual Property, Munich Intellectual Property Law Center, Studies 21, Nomos, Munich, 2014.
- SİRİNELLİ, Pierre, Notions Fundamentales du Droit d'Auteur - Recueil de Jurisprudence, Organisation Mondiale de la Propriété Intellectuelle, Cenevre, 2002.
- SPIESS, Marie, Helene, (Loi sur le Droit d'Auteur, LDA) Swiss Case Law: Max Bill, JdT 2018 II 134, ATF 143 III 373, Law Inside, Switzerland, 2017.
- SULUK, Cahit (Kümülatif), AB ve Türk Hukukunda Tasarımların Kümülatif Olarak Korunması, FMR, S: 3, C:3, s. 43-72, 2001.
- SULUK, Cahit, 6769 Sayılı Sınai Mülkiyet Kanununun Getirdiği Yenilikler, Ticaret ve Fikri Mülkiyet Hukuku Dergisi, C:4, S:1, 91-109, 2018. (Yenilikler),

- SULUK/Cahit (Kısmi Hükümsüzlük) Tasarımların Kısmi Hükümsüzlüğü, FMHY, s. 319 vd. 2009.
- SULUK/KARASU/NAL, Cahit, Rauf, Temel, Fikri Mülkiyet Hukuku, 2. Baskı, Seçkin, Ankara, 2018.
- SUNADARA RAJAN, Mira, T., Moral Right or Economic Rights? Overlapping Intellectual Property Rights, ed. Neil Wilkof, Shamnad Basheer, Oxford University Press, 2012,
- ŞEHİRALİ-ÇELİK, Feyzan Hayal (Uygulamalar), Türk Hukukunda Tasarımlara Yönelik Uygulamalar, Türkiye’de Fikri ve Sınai Hakların Etkin Uygulanması Sempozyumu, 2004
- ŞEHİRALİ-ÇELİK, Feyzan Hayal, (Tasarımlar) Tasarımların Haksız Rekabet Hükümlerine Korunması-Fikri Mülkiyet ve Haksız Rekabet Hukuku İlişkisi Odaklı Bir İnceleme, 1. Baskı, Banka ve Ticaret Hukuku Araştırma Enstitüsü (T. İş Bankası A.Ş. Vakfı), Ankara, 2014.
- TAIRRAZ, Cassandra, Droit De La Propriété Intellectuelle Et De La Concurrence Déloyale, <https://www.aed-geneve.ch/wp>, Geneve, 2020.
- TANIŞ, Umut, Can, Fikri Mülkiyet Hukukunda Karakter Ürünleştirmesi, Seçkin, Ankara, 2022.
- TEKİNALP, Ünal, (hususiyet), Derleme Eser Düşünce Yaratıcılığı ve Bunun Hususiyet Üzerindeki Etkisi, FMR Ankara Barosu Fikri Mülkiyet ve Rekabet Hukuku Dergisi 2005
- TEKİNALP, Ünal, Fikri Mülkiyet Hukuku, 5. Bası, Vedat Kitapçılık, İstanbul, 2012.
- TEKİNALP, Ünal, Fikri Mülkiyet Hukuku, Vedat Kitapçılık, İstanbul, 2012.
- TISCHNER, Anna, Lost In Communication: A Few Thoughts On The Object An Purpose Of EU Design Protection, The Object and Purpose of Intellectual Property, ed: Susy Frankels. ATRIP Intellectual Property Series, Edward Elgar Publishing 2019.

- TISCHNER, Anna, The Role Of Unregistered Rights—A European Perspective On Design Protection, *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 2018, Vol. 13, No. 4 ed: Susy Frankels, UK, 2018.
- TOMKOWICZ, Robert, *Intellectual Property Overlaps, Theory, Strategies and Solutions*, Routledge, USA and Canada, 2012.
- TOSUN, Çağdaş, *Haksız Fiilden ve Sözleşmeden Doğan Sorumluluk Çerçevesinde Sebeplerin Yarışması*, Seçkin Yayınları, Ankara, 2021.
- TOSUN, Yalçın, *Sinema Eserleri ve Eser Sahibinin Hakları*, Oniki Levha, İstanbul, 2009.
- TOSUN, Yalçın, *Medeni Hukuk, Sözleşme Hukuku ve Fikir ve Sanat Eserleri Hukuku açısından Manevi Haklar*, Oniki Levha, İstanbul, 2016.
- TURAN, Metin, *Fikir ve Sanat Eserleri Kanununda Eser Çeşitleri: Karşılaştırmalı Bir Analiz*, *Bilgi Dünyası*, 15 (1) 125-158, 2014
- TURNER, Jonathan D. C., *Intellectual Property and EU Competition Law*, Oxford University Press, Oxford; New York, 2010.
- TÜRK/YILMAZ, Burak, Çiğdem, *Türk Borçlar Kanunu'nun 60. Maddesi Kapsamında Sorumluluk Sebeplerinin Yarışması*, *Sorumluluk Hukuku*, ed. Başak Baysal, *Seminerler*, S 377-409, Oniki Levha Yayıncılık, İstanbul, 2018.
- UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, *The Impact Of The Agreement On Trade-Related Aspects Of Intellectual Property Rights On Human Rights: Report Of The High Commissioner*, United Nations High Commission for Refugees, Geneva, 27 June 2001.
- USHIKI, Riichi, *Legal Protection of Industrial Designs*, Ushiki International Patent Office, Japan, 2001.
- UZUN-ŞENOL, Pınar, *Haksız Rekabet ile Rekabetin Korunması Alanları Arasındaki Hukuki İlişki*, On İki Levha Yayıncılık, İstanbul, 2022.
- VAN-OVERWALLE/LEYS, Geertrui, Reinout, *3D Printing and Patent Law: A Disruptive Technology Disrupting Patent Law?*, IIC - Interna-

tional Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law, Belgium, 2017.

VAVER, David, Reforming Intellectual Property Law: An Obvious and Non-so-obvious Agenda, Osgoode Hall Law School of York University, Intellectual Property Quarterly. Volume 1, P. 143-161, 2009,

VINJE/VAN-ROOIJEN, Thomas, Ashwin, The Relationship between Intellectual property and Competition Laws, Overlapping Intellectual Property Rights, ed. Neil Wilkof, Shamnad Basheer, Oxford University Press, UK, 2012.

WIPO, Standing Committee On The Law Of Trademarks, Industrial Designs and Geographical Indications, Industrial Designs And Their Relation With Works Of Applied Art And Three-Dimensional Marks, 9th Session, Geneva, 2002.

XALABARDER Raquel, Balancing Copyright- A Survey of National Approaches, MPI Studies on Intellectual Property and Competition Law, Volume 18, Ed: Reto M. Hilty, Joseph Straus, Josef Drexl, Sylvie Nérisson, Springer, 2012

Yalçın/TOSUN, Sinema Eserleri ve Eser Sahibinin Hakları, Oniki Levha Yayınları, İstanbul 2013.

YARSUVAT, Duygun, Türk Hukukunda Eser Sahibi ve Hakları, Genişletilmiş 3. Baskı. İstanbul, 1977.

YILMAZTEKİN Hasan Kadir, Türk Fikri Haklar Hukuku Yapay Zeka Tarafından Meydana Getirilen Eserleri Korumak İçin Hazır Mı, , Galatasaray Üniversitesi Hukuk Fakültesi Dergisi, Sayı: 2, 2019.

YURDUSEVEN, Şeyma 6769 sayılı SMK ile Türk Tasarım Hukukunda Yeni Dönem, TAAD, Yıl:8, Sayı:31, Temmuz 2017

YUSUFOĞLU, Fülürya, Patent Verilebilirlik Şartları, Vedat Kitapçılık, İstanbul, 2014.

ZHANG, Weijun, Harmonization between Copyright Protection of Works of Applied Art and Design Protection in China, WIPO-WTO Colloquium Papers, Asia Edition, 2017.

ZORLUEL, Mustafa, Yapay Zekâ Ve Telif Hakkı, TBB Dergisi (142), 2019.

Kararlar

07-13952 Beaute Prestige International v Senteur Mazal, Cour de cassation, July 1, 2008

Adams v. Burke, U.S. Supreme Court, 84 U.S. 17 Wall. 453 453 (1873)

Alcatel USA, Inc. v. DGI Technologies, Inc. 166 F.3d 772 (5th Cir. 1999)

Anayasa Mahkemesi Esas Numarası: 2016/148. Karar Numarası: 2016/189
Karar Tarihi: 14.12.2016

Arrêt 02-44.718 n° 1006 du 13 juin 2006, Cour de cassation- Première chambre civile

Arrêt 05-13.489, Cass. 2e civ., 19 oct. 2006

Arrêt 05-13.489; Cass. 2e civ., 19 oct. 2006

Arrêt 06-19.021 Arrêt n° 1108 du 13 novembre 2008 Cour de cassation- Première chambre civile

Arret 4e chambre 96-18.315, , Cour de Cassation, Chambre Civile 3, du 8 avril 1998,

Arret 76-11.506, Arret 5 juillet 1977; (SCT 17- Contribution de la France sur les nouveaux types de marques, s. 5)

Arret 94-20.740, Arret 2 octobre 1996, Cour d'appel de Paris (4e Ch.) -

Arrêt CA Paris, 4e ch., 26 janv. 1989

Arrêt CA Versailles, 1ère Ch., 17 mars 1994

Arrêt Champagne vs. Société Yves Saint-Laurent, Cour d'appel de Paris, 15 décembre 1993, 93-25039

Arret Chanel (ATF 122 III 469)
(http://relevancy.bger.ch/php/clir/http/index.php?highlight_doxid=atf%3A%2F%2F122-III-469%3Ade&lang=de&type=show_document)

Arrêt du 10 janvier 2003 SA Sagem, CA Paris Cour d'appel de Paris,

Arrêt INPI, Arret, 3 octobre 2003, la Cour d'appel de Paris; (SCT 17 - Contribution de la France sur les nouveaux types de marques, s. 8) WIPO

Arrêt Paris, Cour d'appel, 1.Chambre, 5 mars 1959, Ganzo c. Société "Simca"

Arrêt Rochas v. de Laire, Cour d'appel, Paris, 4e ch., July 3, 1975, Gaz. Pal. 1976.;

Arrêt Rochas v. de Laire, Cour d'appel, Paris, 4e ch., July 3, 1975, Gaz. Pal. 1976.

Arrêt Scott Paper Co. v. Marcalus Mfg. Co., Inc., 326 U.S. 249 (1945)

Arrêt TF, 2005, 463 consid. 2.3, «C'est bon la vie»

Arrêt TGI de Paris, 3e chambre, 8 janvier 2002;

Arrêt TGI Paris, 29 mai 2001

Arrêt TGI Paris, 9 juillet 2004, Sté Areva c/ Association Greenpeace France, Association Greenpeace New Zealand et SA Internet FR

Arret, Cour de Cassation, 01-03.328, Chambre civile 1, du 4 avril 2006, "Angélique"

Avrupa Birliđi Temel Haklar Şartı EUR-Lex (CELEX number) 12007P/TXT — Official Journal: OJ C 303, 14.12.2007 (Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union)

Avrupa Birliđinin İşleyişi Hakkında Antlaşma, EUR-Lex (CELEX number 12012E/TXT), Official Journal: OJ C 326, 26.10.2012, (Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (Consolidated version 2012))

- Baigent and Leigh v The Random House Group Ltd [2007]
- BAM 12. HD İstanbul Esas Numarası: 2018/1086 Karar Numarası: 2018/1615 Karar Tarihi: 13.12.2018
- BAM 12. HD İstanbul Esas Numarası: 2018/1086 Karar Numarası: 2018/1615 Karar Tarihi: 13.12.2018
- BAM 12. HD İstanbul Esas Numarası: 2020/299 Karar Numarası: 2020/290 Karar Tarihi: 05.03.2020
- BAM 16. HD İstanbul Esas: 2019/1542 Karar Numarası: 2019/2004 Karar Tarihi: 04.10.2019
- Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, Paris Act of July 24th, 1971,
- BGH Birthday Train (Geburtstagszug) Decision of the Federal Supreme Court (Bundesgerichtshof) 13 November 2013 – Case No. I ZR 143/12
- BGH, 10.10.1991 - I ZR 147/89, GRUR 1993
- BGH, 13.11.2013 - I ZR 143/12 - Geburtstagszug
- BGH, Parfumflakon, I ZR 256/97, 4. Mai 2000,
- BGH,, 03/02/2005, I ZR 159/02, - Lila-Postkarte
- Bleistein v. Donaldson Lithographing Co., 188 U.S. 239 (1903)
- Bonito Boats v. Thunder Craft Boats, 489 U.S. 141 (1989)
- British Leyland Motor Corporation Ltd v Armstrong Patents Co Ltd: HL 1986
- Burberrys / Superconfex (Burberry I), Jur. 1989CJ Benelux, 14 avril 1989,
- C- 08-11.404, 07-13.952 and 22 January 2009, Argeville v. Lancôme Parfums et Beauté
(<https://europeancopyrightsocietydotorg.files.wordpress.com/2018/03/ecs-opinion-on-protection-for-tastes-final1.pdf>)

- C- 799/2013-2, Second Board of Appeal of 26 November 2014 Malibu
- C-02/9302, Arret, Cour d'Appel de Paris, 4ème chambre, 16 novembre 2005
SA Société Esso contre Association Greenpeace France;
- C-101/01, Judgment of 6. 11. 2003 Judgment of The Court 6 November
2003 (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:62001CJ0101&from=EN>)
- C-104/01, Judgment of the Court of 6 May 2003. Libertel Groep BV v Benelux-Merkenbureau, – Libertel
(<https://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?num=C-104/01>)
- C-11-19.872, Cour de Cassation, Tresor-Armani-Mania, 10 December 2013, [2014]
- C-112/99- Toshiba Europe, Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 25 October 2001. Toshiba Europe GmbH v Katun Germany GmbH
- C-128/11, Judgment of The Court (Grand Chamber) 3 July 2012, Usedsoft GmbH v. Oracle
- C-13-23.566, Cour de cassation, civile, Chambre civile 1, 15 janvier 2015,
- C-136/02 P- Mag Instrument v OHIM, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 7 October 2004
- C-15/74 Centrafarm BV and Adriaan de Peijper v Sterling Drug Inc., [1974].
Judgment of the Court of 31 October 1974. Centrafarm BV and Adriaan de Peijper v Sterling Drug Inc. Reference for a preliminary ruling
- C-163/16, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) - Louboutin and Christian Louboutin, 12 June 2018
- C-168/09 Flos SpA v Semeraro Casa e Famiglia SpA (Reference for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunale di Milano)
- C-201/13, Judgment of The Court (Grand Chamber) 3 September 2014, Johan Deckmyn, Vrijheidsfonds VZW v Helena Vandersteen, Christiane

Vandersteen, Liliana Vandersteen, Isabelle Vandersteen, Rita Dupont, Amoras II CVOH, WPG Uitgevers België

C-206/01 Arsenal Football Club [2002] ECR 10273 Document 62001CJ0206 Judgment of the Court of 12 November 2002. Arsenal Football Club plc v Matthew Reed. Reference for a preliminary ruling

C-21/18 Textilis Ltd and Ozgur Keskin, Judgment of The Court (Fifth Chamber) 14 March 2019

C-215/14, Judgment of The Court (First Chamber) 16 September 2015, Société des Produits Nestlé SA v Cadbury UK Ltd,

C-237/19 – Gömböc Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) of 23 April 2020 Gömböc Kutató, Szolgáltató és Kereskedelmi Kft. v Szellemi Tulajdon Nemzeti Hivatala

C-237/19, Gömböc Kutató, Szolgáltató és Kereskedelmi Kft. v Szellemi Tulajdon Nemzeti

C-238/06, Judgment of The Court (Eighth Chamber) of 25 October 2007 Develey Holding GmbH & Co. Beteiligungs KG v European Union Intellectual Property Office Case

C-263/18, 19 December 2019 Tom Cabinet Nederlands Uitgeversverbond and Groep Algemene Uitgevers Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 19 December 2019 Nederlands Uitgeversverbond and Groep Algemene Uitgevers v Tom Kabinet Internet BV and Others Request for a preliminary ruling from the Rechtbank Den Haag

C-263/18, Judgment of The Court (Grand Chamber) 19 December 2019,

C-267/95 and C-268/95, 5 December 1996. - Merck & Co. Inc., Merck Sharp & Dohme Ltd and Merck Sharp & Dohme International Services BV v Primecrown Ltd, Ketan Himatlal Mehta, Bharat Himatlal Mehta and Necessity Supplies Ltd and Beecham Group plc v Europ-harm of Worthing Ltd

- C-273/00, Methylcinnamat, Judgment of 12. 12. 2002 (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:62000CJ0273&from=DE>)
- C-281/10 P - PepsiCo v Grupo Promer Mon Graphic Judgment of 20 Oct 2011, (PepsiCo), ECLI:EU:C:2011:679. (<https://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?language=en&num=C-281/10>)
- C-283/01 - Shield Mark, Judgment of the Court (Sixth Chamber) of 27 November 2003. (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:62001CJ0283&from=SK>)
- C-286/04 P - Eurocermex v OHIM Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 30 June 2005 Eurocermex SA v European Union Intellectual Property Office (<https://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?language=en&num=c-286/04>)
- C-310/17, 13 November 2018, Levola Hengelo
- C-320/12 - Malaysia Dairy Industries Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber), 27 June 2013 Malaysia Dairy Industries Pte. Ltd v Ankenævnet for Patenter og Varemærker Request for a preliminary ruling from the Højesteret (<https://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?num=C-320/12>)
- C-321/03 – Dyson Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 25 January 2007. Dyson Ltd v Registrar of Trade Marks. Reference for a preliminary ruling: High Court of Justice (England & Wales), Chancery Division - United Kingdom (<https://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?language=en&jur=C,T,F&num=C-321/03&td=ALL>)
- C-337/95 - Parfums Christian Dior v Evora Judgment of the Court of 4 November 1997. Parfums Christian Dior SA and Parfums Christian Dior BV v Evora BV.
- C-353/03, Judgment Of The Court (Second Chamber) 7 July 2005
- C-355/96. AT Judgment of the Court of 16 July 1998. Silhouette International Schmied GmbH & Co. KG v Hartlauer Handelsgesellschaft mbH.

- C-361/04 P - Ruiz-Picasso e.a. / OHMI Procédure principale Arrêt de la Cour (première chambre) du 12 janvier 2006. Claude Ruiz-Picasso et autres contre Office de l'harmonisation dans le marché intérieur (marques, dessins et modèles) (OHMI) (<https://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?language=fr&num=C-361/04>)
- C-395/16, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) 8 March 2018, DOCE-RAM GmbH v CeramTec GmbH of 8 March 2018 Request for a preliminary ruling from the Oberlandesgericht Düsseldorf (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/GA/ALL/?uri=CELEX:62016CJ0395>)
- C-408/01, Judgment of 23 Oct 2003, C-408/01 (Adidas-Salomon), ECLI:EU:C:2003:582.
- C-421/13, Apple Inc. Deutsches Patent- und Markenamt, 10/07/2014 (for a preliminary ruling from the Bundespatentgericht)
- C-44/01- Pippig Augenoptik Judgment of the Court of 8 April 2003;
- C-457/10 P, Judgment of the Court (First Chamber), 6 December 2012, AstraZeneca AB and AstraZeneca plc v European Commission; (Appeal Case before the General Court T-321/05)
- C-473/01, P- Procter & Gamble v OHIM (<https://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?language=en&num=C-474/01>)
- C-48/05, Adam Opel AG v Autec AG, Deutscher Verband der Spielwaren-Industrie eV, [2007] ECR I-1017
- C-48/05, Judgment of 25. 1. 2007 — Case Judgment of The Court (First Chamber) 25 January 2007
- C-48/09 P - Lego Juris v OHIM, Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 14 September 2010.
- C-48/09 P, Appeal under Article 56 of the Statute of the Court of Justice, brought on 29 January 2009, Lego Juris A/S, (OHIM), Mega Brands
- C-487/07, Judgment of The Court (First Chamber) 18 June 2009, L'Oréal SA, Lancôme parfums et eauté & Cie SNC, Laboratoire Garnier &

Cie v Bellure NV, Malaika Investments Ltd, trading as 'Honey pot cosmetic & Perfumery Sales', Starion International Ltd

C-49/02, Heidelberger Bauchemie, Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 24 June 2004. Heidelberger Bauchemie GmbH. Reference for a preliminary ruling

C-5/08 Infopaq International A/S v Danske Dagblades Forening 16 July 2009

C-529/07- Chocoladenfabriken Lindt & Sprüngli Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 11 June 2009 Chocoladefabriken Lindt & Sprüngli AG v Franz Hauswirth GmbH

C-59/05 – Siemens Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 23 February 2006;

C-604/10, Football Dataco and Others v Yahoo! UK Ltd and Others Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber), 1 March 2012

C-63/97, BMW AG v Deenik Judgment of the Court of 23 February 1999. Bayerische Motorenwerke AG (BMW) and BMW Nederland BV v Ronald Karel Deenik.

C-64/02 P OHIM v Erpo Möbelwerk Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 21 October 2004 (<https://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?num=C-64/02P>)

C-64/02 P OHIM v Erpo Möbelwerk Judgment of The Court (Second Chamber) 21 October 2004

C-64/02 P; C-398/08 P - Audi v OHIM;

C-683/17 Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 12 September 2019 Cofemel – Sociedade de Vestuário SA v G-Star Raw CV

C-78/70 Judgment of the Court of 8 June 1971. Deutsche Grammophon Gesellschaft mbH v Metro-SB-Großmärkte GmbH & Co. KG

- C-829-831 Cour d'Appel de Paris (1re Ch.) 25 January 2012, S.A. Editrice du Monde / Société Messagerie Lyonnaise de Presse, Société Sonora Media,
- C-833/18 - Brompton Bicycle Judgment of the Court (Fifth Chamber) 11 June 2020
- CA Anvers, 17 mai 2010, I.R.D.I., 2011, p.37 ; CA Bruxelles, 2 juin 2004, Ing.-Cons. 2004/2 (https://www.wipo.int/edocs/pubdocs/fr/wipo_pub_629.pdf)
- Catnic Components Ltd and Another v Hill and Smith Ltd: HL 1982 (<https://academic.oup.com/rpc/article-abstract/99/9/183/1608118?redirectedFrom=fulltext>)
- Christian Louboutin S.A. v. Yves Saint Laurent America Inc., United States Courts of Appeals for the Second Circuit, Docket No. 11-3303-cv, Decided: September 5, 2012.
- Cliffs Notes, Inc., Plaintiff-appellee, v. Bantam Doubleday Dell Publishing Group, Inc., Defendant-appellant, 886 F.2d 490 (2d Cir. 1989)
- Creation Records Ltd and Another v News Group Newspapers Ltd: ChD 29 Apr 1997
- Dastar Corp. v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp., United States Courts of Appeals for Ninth Circuit, No. 02-428, .S. 23, Decided: June 2, 2003.
- Document 61999CC0299 Opinion of Mr Advocate General Ruiz-Jarabo Colomer delivered on 23 January 2001. Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV v Remington Consumer Products Ltd C-299/99, Judgment Of The Court 18 June 2002, Koninklijke Philips Electronics NV v Remington Consumer Products Ltd. (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/TXT/?uri=CELEX:61999CC0299>)
- Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Act 2013, No. 1666, 2013-10-01
- Farmers Build Ltd v Carier Bulk Materials Handling Ltd and Others: CA 23 Dec 1998

Feist Publications, Inc., Petitioner V. Rural Telephone Service Company, Inc. (supreme court) 499 U.S. 340

Fisher v Brooker and Others, HL 30 Jul 2009

Fleischer Studios, Inc. v. A.V.E.L.A., Inc., et al., No. 09-56317 (9th Cir. 2011)

Goodyear T. & R. Comp. v. Hercules T. & R. Comp., US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit-162 F.3d 1113, 48 U.S.P.Q.2d 1767, Decided: November 10, 1998.

Hoge Raad (Supreme Court) 16 June 2006, Lancôme Parfums et Beauté et cie S.N.C. v. Kecofa BV.

Honeywell, Inc., 497 F.2d 1344 (C.C.P.A. 1974)
(<https://casetext.com/case/application-of-honeywell-inc-1>)

Hyperion Records Ltd v Sawkins: CA 19 May 2005
(<https://academic.oup.com/rpc/article-abstract/122/22-23/808/1596871?redirectedFrom=PDF>)

IPC Media Ltd v News Group Newspapers Ltd Reference: [2005] EWHC 317 (Ch); [2005] EMLR 532; [2005] FSR35

Joined case C-53/01 to C-55/01, Linde And Others Judgment Of The Court Request for a preliminary ruling 8 April 2003 C-54/01, C-55/01, Judgment of the Court of 8 April 2003 Linde AG, 53-01, Winward Industries Inc. and Rado Uhren AG (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:62001CJ0053:EN:PDF>)

Joined cases C-108/97 and C-109/97 C-108/97 - Windsurfing Chiemsee Judgment of the Court of 4 May 1999.

Joined Cases C-414/99 to C-416/99 Zino Davidoff SA v A & G Imports Ltd and Levi Strauss & Co and Levi Strauss (UK) Ltd v Tesco Stores Ltd and Costco Wholesale UK Ltd

Kecofa v. Lancome, 16/06/2006, NJ 2006 ECLI:NL:HR:2006:AU8940
(https://klos.nl/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/Quaedvlieg_Copyright_and_Perfume.pdf)

Kellogg Co. v. National Biscuit Co.(1938) United States Supreme Court No. 548, 1938 (<https://caselaw.findlaw.com/us-supreme-court/305/111.html>)

Kohler Co., Plaintiff/appellant, v. Moen Incorporated, F/k/a Stanadyne, Inc., Defendant/appellee, 12 F.3d 632 (7th Cir. 1993) US Court of Appeals, 1993.

Koninklijke KPN Nederland, C-363/99, EU:C:2004,

Korzybski v. Underwood & Underwood, Inc., 36 F.2d 727 (2d Cir. 1929)

L Woolley Jewellers Ltd. v. A & A Jewellery Ltd. and A & A Jewellery (London) Ltd. England and Wales Court of Appeal (Civil Division) 2002

Mazer v. Stein, 347 U.S. 201 (1954)
(<https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/347/201/>)

Merchandising Corporation of America v Harpbond [1983]

Metro v. Deutsche Grammophon, case 78/70, 1971 , ECR 18; C429/08 Football Association v. Leisure Murphy, 2012, 1 CMLR 29

Mugler v Molinard, Tribunal de commerce, Paris, 15e ch., Sept. 24, 1999, Gaz. Pal. 2001

Newspaper Licensing Agency v. Meltwater Holding 2011

OHIM v. BORCO-Marken-Import Matthiesen, EU:C:2010

Omega S.A. v. Costco Wholesale Corp., No. 11-57137 (9th Cir. 2015)

Opinion Of Advocate General Cruz Villalón 22 May 2014 Case C-201/13 Johan Deckmyn and Vrijheidsfonds VZW v Helena Vandersteen, Christiane Vandersteen, Liliana Vandersteen, Isabelle Vandersteen, Rita Dupont, Amoras II CVOH and WPG Uitgevers België,

Opinion Of Advocate General M. Campos Sánchez-Bordona 2020, Case C-833/18, Brompton Bicycle Ltd. v. Chedech

Opinion Of Advocate General Saugmandsgaard Øe 19 October 2017 Case C-395/16 DOCERAM GmbH v. CeramTec GmbH

Opinion Of Mr Advocate General Mengozzi delivered on 26 January 2010 Lego Juris A/S v. Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market

Opinion Of Mr Jacobs- Case C-337/95 Opinion Of Advocate General Jacobs delivered on 29 April 1997

Opinion On The Pending Reference Before The Cjeu in Case 310/17, European Copyright Society, (copyright protection of tastes) 2018

Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property

Pasterfield v Denham: ChD 1999 (<https://www.5rb.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/Confetti-Records-v-Warner-Music-ChD-23-May-2003.pdf>)

Pivot Point v. Charlene Products, Inc., 372 F.3d 913, 7th Cir. 2004, USA Court of Appeals.

Polo/Lauren/OHIM-FreshSide, T-265/1318, September 2014

Procter and Gamble Company v Reckitt Benckiser (UK) Ltd: CA 10 Oct 2007

Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council On The Patentability Of Computer-Implemented Inventions, Official Journal 151 E, P. 0129-131, 2002, EU

Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Products Co., 514 U.S. 159 (1995)

Rechtsbeschwerdesache, BGH Beschluss X ZB 9/06, 17 April 2007, Germany.

RSPI 1990, 103 - "Hopfenperle" Arrêt de la Cour suprême de Soleure du 9 mai 1989, Brasserie Feldschlösschen contre Brasserie Karbacher AG

- Smith v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., Civil Action No. 1:06-cv-526-TCB. March 20, 2008. United States District Court, N.D. Georgia, Atlanta Division.
- Societe De Produits Nestle Sa v Unilever Plc: ChD 18 Dec 2002
- Stormy Clime Ltd. v. Progroup, Inc., 809 F.2d 971 (2d Cir. 1987)
- Symbian Ltd v Comptroller General of Patents: CA 8 Oct 2008, EWCA Civ 1066
- T-0769/92, 199, EPO, Sohei/Yamamoto, T 0769/92 (General-purpose management system) of 31.May.1994, ECLI:EP:BA:1994:T076992.19940531 (<https://xepc.eu/node/t920769>)
- T-128/01 DaimlerChrysler v OHIM 2003; Judgment of the Court (Second Chamber) of 7 October 2004
- T-129/01 Jose Alejandro SL vs. OHIM, 03.07.2003
- T-130/01 - Sykes Enterprises v OHMI (Real People, Real Solutions) Judgment of the Court of First Instance (Second Chamber) of 5 December 2002 (<https://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?language=en&num=T-130/01>)
- T-138/00- Erpo Möbelwerk v OHIM (Das Prinzip Der Bequemlichkeit) Judgment of the General Court (Fourth Chamber) of 11 December 2001 (<https://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?language=en&num=T-138/00>)
- T-146/02 to T-153/02, Deutsche SiSi-Werke GmbH & Co. Betriebs KG,
- T-270/06, Lego Juris A/S Mega Brands Inc. Judgment of The Court of First Instance (Eighth Chamber) 12 November 2008
- T-302/10: Order of the General Court of 23 November 2012 — Crocs v OHIM — Holey Soles Holdings and PHI (Representation of footwear) (Community trade mark — Invalidity action — Withdrawal of the invalidity action — No need to adjudicate)T-441/05 IVG Immobilien v.

OHIM (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:62010TB0302>)

T-305/02 Nestlé Waters France v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:62002TJ0305&from=FR>)

T-396/14 - Best-Lock (Europe) v OHMI - Lego Juris (Forme d'une figurine de jouet avec plot) Judgment of the General Court (Third Chamber) of 16 June 2015 Best-Lock (Europe) Ltd v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (<https://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?num=T-396/14>)

T-396/14 - Best-Lock (Europe) v OHMI - Lego Juris (Forme d'une figurine de jouet avec plot) Judgment of the General Court (Third Chamber) of 16 June 2015 Best-Lock (Europe) Ltd v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs)

T-450/09 Judgment of the General Court (Sixth Chamber), 25 November 2014, Simba Toys GmbH & Co. KG v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM) (<https://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?num=T-450/09&language=EN>)

T-515/19 - Lego v EUIPO - Delta Sport Handelskontor (Élément de construction d'une boîte de jeu de construction)

T-88/06: Judgment of the Court of First Instance of 24 January 2008 — Dorrel Juvenile Group v OHIM (Safety 1st) (Community trade mark — Application for the Community word mark SAFETY 1ST — Absolute ground for refusal — Lack of distinctive character — Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 40/94) (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A62006TA0088&qid=1653603633678>)

T-9/07, Grupo Promer Mon Graphic, SA v Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM). (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A62007TJ0009>)

Temple Island Collections v. New English Teas, 2012, EWPC 1
(<https://www.casemine.com/judgement/uk/5a8ff72e60d03e7f57ea9339>)

The University Of Alabama Board Of Trustees, v. New Life Art Inc., Daniel A. Moore, United States Court of Appeals, Eleventh Circuit. 11, 2012

Théberge v. Galerie d'Art du Petit Champlain inc., 2002 SCC 34 (CanLII), [2002] 2 SCR 336 March 28, 2002, Canada (Federal) (https://h2o.law.harvard.edu/text_blocks/5742)

TraFFix Devices, Inc. v. Marketing Displays, Inc., 532 U.S. 23 (2001)

UK Intellectual Property Office, Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v. William Hill (Football) Ltd, House of Lords decision reported:1964, Oxford Academic, 1980, UK

University of London Press Ltd v University Tutorial Press Ltd: ChD 1916 2 CH 601 (The Structure of Intellectual Property Law Can One Size Fit All? ed. Kur/Anette, Mizaras/Vytautas, ATRIP Intellectual Property, Enchelmaier/Stefan, 2011, s. 239)

Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Samara Brothers, Inc., 529 U.S. 205 (2000)

Yardley, 493 F.2d 1389 (C.C.P.A. 1974) (<https://casetext.com/case/application-of-yardley-2>)

Yargıtay 10. HD Esas Numarası: 1984/1286 Karar Numarası: 1984/2317 Karar Tarihi: 27.4.1984.

Yargıtay 10. HD Esas Numarası: 1989/7274 Karar Numarası: 1989/9652 Karar Tarihi: 30.12.1989.

Yargıtay 10. HD Esas Numarası: 2013/23214 Karar Numarası: 2014/19950 Karar Tarihi: 20.10.2014.

Yargıtay 10. HD Esas Numarası: 2015/11331 Karar Numarası: 2015/19018 Karar Tarihi: 10.11.2015.

Yargıtay 10. HD Esas Numarası: 2015/23627 Karar Numarası: 2016/1216 Karar Tarihi: 8.2.2016.

Yargıtay 10. HD Esas Numarası: 2015/24896 Karar Numarası: 2017/537
Karar Tarihi: 26.1.2017.

Yargıtay 10. HD Esas Numarası: 2015/2728 Karar Numarası: 2016/2227
Karar Tarihi: 18.2.2016.

Yargıtay 10. HD Esas Numarası: 2015/8953 Karar Numarası: 2015/8072
Karar Tarihi: 28.4.2015.

Yargıtay 10. HD Esas Numarası: 2020/289 Karar Numarası: 2020/694 Karar
Tarihi: 5.2.2020.

Yargıtay 10. HD Esas Numarası: 2020/7093 Karar Numarası: 2021/6924
Karar Tarihi: 25.5.2021.

Yargıtay 10. HD Esas Numarası: 2020/8842 Karar Numarası: 2021/9680
Karar Tarihi: 6.7.2021.

Yargıtay 10. HD Esas Numarası: 2021/3004 Karar Numarası: 2021/10652
Karar Tarihi: 21.9.2021.

Yargıtay 11 HD Esas Numarası: 2008/654, Karar Numarası 2009/5085, Ka-
rar Tarihi: 30.04.2009.

Yargıtay 11 HD. Esas Numarası: 2005/5095 Karar Numarası: 2006/8411
Karar Tarihi: 14.07.2006.

Yargıtay 11 HD. Esas Numarası: 2009/4769, Karar Numarası: 2010/11782
Karar Tarihi: 22.11.2010.

Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2000/9012, Karar Numarası: 2000/9189.
Karar Tarihi: 21.11.2000.

Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2002/5715, Karar Numarası: 2002/10398.
Karar Tarihi: 14.12.2002.

Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2004/9317, Karar Numarası: 2005/5762,
Karar Tarihi: 02.06.2005.

Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2005/2149, Karar Numarası: 2006/1947
Karar Tarihi: 27.02.2006.

- Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2005/5095 Karar Numarası: 2006/8411
Karar Tarihi: 14.07.2006.
- Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2007/4585 Karar Numarası: 2008/13092
Karar Tarihi: 20.11.2008.
- Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2015/10208 Karar Numarası: 2015/12536
Karar Tarihi: 20.04.2016.
- Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2015/4618 Karar Numarası: 2015/5706
Karar Tarihi: 22.04.2015.
- Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2018/5459 Karar Numarası: 2019/6200
Karar Tarihi: 7.10.2019.
- Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2019/1610 Karar Numarası: 2019/8209
Karar Tarihi: 16.12.2019.
- Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2020/1016 Karar Numarası: 2020/5421
Karar Tarihi: 25.11.2020.
- Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2020/3148 Karar Numarası: 2021/6212
Karar Tarihi: 15.11.2021.
- Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2020/3148 Karar Numarası: 2021/6212
Karar Tarihi: 15.11.2021.
- Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2021/89 Karar Numarası: 2021/3954 Karar
Tarihi: 22.4.2021.
- Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2005/2014 Karar Numarası: 2006/1948
Karar Tarihi: 27.02.2006.
- Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası:2014/19065 Karar Numarası: 2015/13291
Karar Tarihi: 10.12.2015.
- Yargıtay 11. HD Esas Numarası: 2015/10707 Karar Numarası: 2015/10291
Karar Tarihi: 12.10.2015.
- Yargıtay 11. HD, Esas Numarası: 2004/10620, Karar Numarası: 2005/7392
Karar Tarihi: 11.07.2005.

Yargıtay 11. HD, Esas Numarası: 2005/4000, Karar Numarası: 2006/3604
Karar Tarihi: 06.04.2006.

Yargıtay 11. HD. Esas Numarası: 2002/1645 Karar Numarası: 2002/5244
Karar Tarihi: 27.05.2002.

Yargıtay 11. HD. Esas Numarası: 2007/3274 Karar Numarası: 2008/4875
Karar Tarihi: 11.03.2008.

Yargıtay 11. HD. Esas Numarası: 2014/16457 Karar Numarası: 2015/1783
Karar Tarihi: 12.02.2015.

Yargıtay 11. HD. Esas Numarası: 2015/4618 Karar Numarası: 2015/5706
Karar Tarihi: 22.04.2015.

Yargıtay 11. HD. Esas Numarası: 2016/2193 Karar Numarası: 2017/1563 K.
Karar Tarihi: 16.03.2017.

Yargıtay 11. HD. Esas Numarası: 2021/89 Karar Numarası: 2021/3954 Ka-
rar Tarihi: 22.04.2021.

Yargıtay 11. HD. Esas Numarası: 2004/14760 Karar Numarası: 2005/12302
Karar Tarihi: 14.12.2005.

Yargıtay 13. HD Esas Numarası: 1977/1254 Karar Numarası: 1977/1836
Karar Tarihi: 31.3.1977.

Yargıtay 14. HD Esas Numarası: 2014/16192 Karar Numarası: 2016/3221
Karar Tarihi: 14.3.2016.

Yargıtay 14. HD Esas Numarası: 2014/5390 Karar Numarası: 2014/9356
Karar Tarihi: 14.7.2014.

Yargıtay 15. HD Esas Numarası: 1975/2615 Karar Numarası: 1975/2626
Karar Tarihi: 15.5.1975.

Yargıtay 15. HD Esas Numarası: 2015/5162 Karar Numarası: 2015/6501
Karar Tarihi: 21.12.2015.

Yargıtay 3. HD Esas Numarası: 2018/1520 Karar Numarası: 2018/8632 Ka-
rar Tarihi: 18.9.2018.

- Yargıtay 3. HD Esas Numarası: 2021/3084 Karar Numarası: 2021/10291
Karar Tarihi: 19.10.2021.
- Yargıtay 4. HD Esas Numarası: 1976/6024 Karar Numarası: 1976/9292
Karar Tarihi: 1.11.1976.
- Yargıtay 4. HD Esas Numarası: 1976/5913 Karar Numarası: 1977/7617 Ka-
rar Tarihi: 01.07.1977.
- Yargıtay 4. HD Esas Numarası: 1984/4791 Karar Numarası: 1984/7800 Ka-
rar Tarihi: 22.10.1984.
- Yargıtay 4. HD Esas Numarası: 1984/7621 Karar Numarası: 1984/8641 Ka-
rar Tarihi: 22.11.1984.
- Yargıtay 4. HD Esas Numarası: 1987/10677 Karar Numarası: 1988/1297
Karar Tarihi: 9.2.1988.
- Yargıtay 4. HD Esas Numarası: 2019/1116 Karar Numarası: 2020/3826 Ka-
rar Tarihi: 10.11.2020.
- Yargıtay 6. HD Esas Numarası: 2021/3698 Karar Numarası: 2021/663 Karar
Tarihi: 6.10.2021.
- Yargıtay 7. HD Esas Numarası: 2016/12920 Karar Numarası: 2016/11766
Karar Tarihi: 31.5.2016.
- Yargıtay 9. HD Esas Numarası: 2017/11000 Karar Numarası: 2018/21192
Karar Tarihi: 22.11.2018.
- Yargıtay 9. HD Esas Numarası: 2017/11582 Karar Numarası: 2019/15449
Karar Tarihi: 10.9.2019.
- Yargıtay 9. HD Esas Numarası: 2008/29446 Karar Numarası: 2010/22651
Karar Tarihi: 9.7.2010.
- Yargıtay 9. HD Esas Numarası: 2015/27994 Karar Numarası: 2018/21164
Karar Tarihi: 21.11.2018.
- Yargıtay 9. HD Esas Numarası: 2017/19812 Karar Numarası: 2018/1242
Karar Tarihi: 25.1.2018.

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2017/11-34 Karar Numarası: 2020/870 Karar Tarihi: 11.11.2020.

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 1980/11-2802 Karar Numarası: 1983/1047 Karar Tarihi: 2.11.1983.

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 1981/2-725 Karar Numarası: 1984/142 Karar Tarihi: 22.2.1984.

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 1995/11-1037 Karar Numarası: 1996/206 Karar Tarihi: 27.03.1996.

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2009/13-461, Karar Numarası: 2009/495 Karar Tarihi: 11.11.2009.

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2010/13-232, Karar Numarası: 2010/316, Karar Tarihi: 10.06.2010.

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2012/9-848 Karar Nuamrası: 2012/815 Karar Tarihi: 21.11.2012.

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2014/11-1307 Karar Nuamrası: 2016/1045 Karar Tarihi: 9.11.2016.

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2017/11-14 Karar Numarası: 2020/395 Karar Tarihi: 10.6.2020.

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2017/11-142 Karar Numarası: 2021/656 Karar Tarihi: 1.6.2021.

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2017/11-72 Karar Numarası: 2021/245 Karar Tarihi: 11.3.2021.

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2017/1394 Karar Numarası: 2019/494 Karar Tarihi: 30.04.2019.

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2017/15-3169 Karar Numarası: 2021/948 Karar Tarihi: 7.7.2021;

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2017/4-1394 Karar Numarası: 2019/494 Karar Tarihi: 30.4.2019

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2018/1036 Karar Numarası: 2019/493 Karar Tarihi: 30.04.2019

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2018/11-835 Karar Numarası: 2021/1569 Karar Tarihi: 2.12.2021

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2018/11-835 Karar Numarası: 2021/1569 Karar Tarihi: 2.12.2021

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2018/4-375 Karar Numarası: 2019/473 Karar Tarihi: 18.4.2019

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2021/22-865 Karar Numarası: 2022/89 Karar Tarihi: 8.2.2022;

Yargıtay HGK Esas Numarası: 2017/4-1442 Karar Numarası: 2021/839 Karar Tarihi: 24.6.2021

Yargıtay İBK Esas Numarası 2019/1 Karar Numarası: 2019/8 Karar Tarihi: 25.12.2019

Yargıtay İBK Esas Numarası. 1958/15 Karar Numarası: 1958/6 Karar Tarihi: 4.6.1958.

Yargıtay İBK Esas Numarası. 2019/1 Karar Numarası: 2019/8 Karar Tarihi: 25.12.2019.

Mevzuat

15 U.S.C. 1052 (Section 2 Of The Lanham Act)

15 U.S.C. 1125 (Section 43 Of The Lanham Act)

17 U.S. Code § 1329. Relation to design patent law

551 sayılı patent Haklarının Korunması Hakkında Kanun Hükümünde Kararname

Code de la Propriété Intellectuelle (CPI), Fransız Fikri Mülkiyet Kanun'u, Loi no 92-597 du 1 Juillet 1992

Code of Federal Regulations, Title 37 — Patents, Trademarks, and Copyrights, 37 CFR § 202.10

Consolidated versions of the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, 2012/C 326/01, Official Journal C 326, 26/10/2012 P. 0001 – 0390

Constitution fédérale de la Confédération suisse du 18 avril 1999 29.05.1874 (Etat le 7 Mars 2021) Décision 18 décembre 1998 Entrée en vigueur 1 janvier 2000

Convention Benelux en matière de dessins ou modèles; le 25 octobre 1966

Convention Establishing the World Intellectual Property Organization Dünya Fikri Mülkiyet Örgütünü Kuran Anlaşma, force: June 1, 1984 date: September 28, 1979

Convention for The European Patent for The Common Market (Community Patent Convention)

Convention on the Grant of European Patents (European Patent Convention) 5 October 1973 as revised by the Act revising Article 63 EPC of 17 December 1991 and the Act revising the EPC of 29 November 2000

Copyright Act of 1976, Title 17 of the United States Code

Copyright Act of 1976, Title 17 of the United States Code, 94-553 on October 19, 1976, effect on January 1, 1978

Copyright Act of 9 September 1965 (Federal Law Gazette I, p. 1273), Act on Copyright and Related Rights (Urheberrechtsgesetz – UrhG)

Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988

Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, CH 48, 1988. 1st ed. [ebook]
London: Parliament of England,
[/www.wipo.int/edocs/lexdocs/laws/en/gb/gb229en.pdf](http://www.wipo.int/edocs/lexdocs/laws/en/gb/gb229en.pdf)

Council Directive 93/83/EEC of 27 September 1993 on the coordination of certain rules concerning copyright and rights related to copyright applicable to satellite broadcasting and cable retransmission

Council Directive 93/83/EEC of 27 September 1993 on the coordination of certain rules concerning copyright and rights related to copyright applicable to satellite broadcasting and cable retransmission

Council Regulation (EC) No 2100/94 of 27 July 1994 on Community Plant Variety rights

Council Regulation (EC) No 2100/94 of 27 July 1994 on Community plant variety rights

Council Regulation (EC) No 6/2002 of 12 December 2001 on Community Designs

Council Regulation (EC) No 6/2002 of 12 December 2001 on Community designs

Deutsches Patent und Markenamt, Presentation of the German Patent and Trade Mark Office (DPMA) German Patent and Trade Mark Office, February 2009, Submission by the Delegation of Germany, WIPO.

Directive (EU) 2006/115 of The European Parliament and Of The Council of 12 December 2006 On Rental Right And Lending Right And On Certain Rights Related To Copyright In The Field Of Intellectual Property (Codified Version)

Directive (EU) 2015/2436 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2015 to approximate the laws of the Member States relating to trade marks

Directive (EU) 2015/2436 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2015 to approximate the laws of the Member States relating to trade marks

Directive (EU) 2019/790 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 April 2019 on copyright and related rights in the Digital Single Market and amending Directives 96/9/EC and 2001/29/EC

Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2001 on the harmonisation of certain aspects of copyright and related rights in the information society

Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2001 on the harmonisation of certain aspects of copyright and related rights in the information society

Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2001 on the harmonisation of certain aspects of copyright and related rights in the information society

Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2001 on the harmonisation of certain aspects of copyright and related rights in the information society

Directive 2001/84/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 September 2001 on the resale right for the benefit of the author of an original work of art

Directive 2006/115/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2006 on rental right and lending right and on certain rights related to copyright in the field of intellectual property (codified version)

Directive 2006/115/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2006 on rental right and lending right and on certain rights related to copyright in the field of intellectual property (codified version)

Directive 2006/116/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2006 on the term of protection of copyright and certain related rights (codified version)

Directive 2009/24/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009 on the legal protection of computer programs

- Directive 2009/24/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009 on the legal protection of computer programs (Codified version)
- Directive 96/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 1996 on the legal protection of databases
- Directive 97/55/EC of European Parliament and of the Council of 6 October 1997 amending Directive 84/450/EEC concerning misleading advertising so as to include comparative advertising
- Directive 98/44/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 July 1998 on the legal protection of biotechnological inventions
- Directive 98/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 October 1998 on the legal protection of designs
- Endüstriyel Tasarımların Korunması Hakkında Kanun Hükmünde Kararname, 554 sayılı ve 24/6/1995 tarih.
- Fikir Sanat Eserleri Kanunu “FSEK” 5/12/1951 tarih ve 5846 sayılı
- First Council Directive 89/104/EEC of 21 December 1988 to approximate the laws of the Member States relating to trade marks
- George Hensher Ltd v Restawile Upholstery (Lancs) Ltd: HL 1975
- Gesetz betreffend das urheberrecht an mustern und modellen, 11.01.1876
- Gesetz über den rechtlichen Schutz von Design (Designgesetz - DesignG) 12.03.2004
- Gesetz über Urheberrecht und verwandte Schutzrechte (Urheberrechtsgesetz) 09.09.1965 (BGBl. I S. 1273)
- Green Paper on the Legal Protection of Industrial Design. Working document of the services of the Commission. III/F/5131/91-EN, June 1991
- Guidelines For Examination Of European Union Trade Marks European Union Intellectual Property Office (Eupo) Part B Examination Sec-

tion 4 Absolute Grounds For Refusal Chapter 2 Eutm Definition (Article 7(1)(A) EUTMR

Guidelines for Examination in the European Patent Office

Italian Copyright Statute, Law for the Protection of Copyright and Neighboring Rights, Law No. 633 of April 22, 1941.

İsviçre Telif ve Komşu Haklar Kanunu, Loi fédérale sur le droit d'auteur et les droits voisins, 9 octobre 1992

Loi Fédérale Sur Le Droit D'auteur Et Les Droits Voisins (Loi sur le droit d'auteur, LDA) 9 octobre 1992

Loi Fédérale Sur Le Droit D'auteur Et Les Droits Voisins (Loi sur le droit d'auteur, LDA) 9 octobre 1992

Loi Fédérale Sur Le Droit D'auteur Et Les Droits Voisins (Loi sur le droit d'auteur, LDA), 9 octobre 1992, Switzerland.

Loi n° 92-597 du 1er juillet 1992 relative au Code de la propriété intellectuelle

Loi n° 92-597 du 1er juillet 1992 relative au Code de la propriété intellectuelle

Loi n° 92-597 du 1er juillet 1992 relative au Code De La Propriété Intellectuelle (Partie Legislative), France

Markaların Tescili Amacıyla Mal ve Hizmetlerin Uluslararası Sınıflandırılmasına İlişkin Nis Anlaşması, R.G., S:29934, 2016, Ankara.

Max Bill, JdT 2018 II 134, ATF 143 III 373

Patent Act 1977, Birleşik Krallık Ch. 37, July 29th, 1977, UK.

Pictorial, graphic, and sculptural, Works, Code of Federal Regulations Title 37, 37 CFR § 202.10

Pictorial, graphic, and sculptural, Works, Code of Federal Regulations Title 37, 37 CFR § 202.10

Registered Designs Act 1949

Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 June 2017 on the European Union trade mark

Regulation (EU) 2017/1001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 June 2017 on the European Union trade mark

Regulation (EU) No 1151/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 November 2012 on quality schemes for agricultural products and foodstuffs

Sınai Mülkiyet Kanununun Uygulanmasına Dair Yönetmelik, RG, 2017, Sayı: 30047, Ankara.

Sinema Video ve Müzik Eserleri Kanunu, RG, 1986, Sayı: 19012, Ankara.

SMK (Gerekçe)

TC ANAYASA

The Copyright Act of 1976, Public Law No: 94-553, 90 Stat. 254, 94th US Congress, 19 Oct. 1976, USA.

The Copyright Act of 1976, Public Law No: 94-553, 90 Stat. 2541 19 Oct. 1976

Title 37 § 202.10, Pictorial, Graphic And Sculptural, Works, Code of Federal Regulations, 1996, USA.

Trade Mark Guidelines, 2.9.2 Smell/olfactory marks, Entry into Force 01/02/2020, EUIPO

Trade Marks Act, 1994, UK.

TRIPS Ticaretle Bağlantılı Fikri Mülkiyet Anlaşması 1994

TTK (Gerekçe)

Türk Dil Kurumu Sözlükleri, Güncel Türkçe Sözlük, <https://www.tdk.gov.tr/>

Türk Medeni Kanunu “TMK” 22/11/2001 tarih ve 4721 sayılı

United States Code Title 17 Section 101

WIPO Telif Hakları Andlaşması, 1996, RG: 14.05.2008, S: 26876, Karar Sayısı: 2008/13597

Yeni Bitki Çeşitlerine Ait İslahçı Haklarının Korunmasına İlişkin Kanun, 8/1/2004 tarihli ve 5042 sayılı